



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/021,292 | 10/29/2001 | Susan M. Milberger | 020375-000240US | 9347 |

20350 7590 10/09/2002

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

AKERS, GEOFFREY R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3624

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/04292

Applicant(s)

M. H. H. H.

Examiner

H. H. H. H.

Group Art Unit

3624

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/29/01
- ☐ This action is FINAL.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d):
 - ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____
 - ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1.7.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 6
- ☒ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- ☐ Other _____

Office Action Summary

Paper # 7

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is issued in response to applicant's Amendment B(Paper #11) filed 6/5/03.
2. New claims 23-27 were added.No claims were deleted.
3. Claims 1-27 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Watkins(US Pat. No: 6,347,305) in view of Hass(US Pat. No: 6,438,586) and further in view of Hilt(US Pat. No:6,408,204).

6. As per claims 1-9, 11-15,17-19,21-27 Watkins teaches a method(col 2 line 41-col 4 line 23) for processing a recurring transfer request from a stored value fund(Abstract) with an online system(Fig 1)(Fig 3) comprising determining a handler associated with the payor(Fig 3/1,4) and transferring money from the handler to the stored value fund(Fig 3/2,3) and informing a payor that the payee accepts payment from the online system(Fig 4).Hass teaches a file transfer utility

Art Unit: 3624

employing an intermediate storage system(Abstract)(Fig 3)(Fig 8)(col 4 line 14-col 6 line 33) wherein the second-listed transferring step comprises transferring the transferred amount to a second stored value fund associated with the payee(col 6 line 35-col 7 line 9) and which requires no human interaction(Fig 9)(Fig 10A)(Fig 10B)(Fig 11A)(Fig 11B) which may be a stored value fund(Fig 3/22/18).Hilt teaches receiving subscription information including a fixed payment amount(Abstract) and a limit on the number of payments in the time period(Fig 6/transaction counts) and receiving pay-out instructions that includes at least two of a payor identifier(Fig 6/124) and transferring the transfer amount from the stored value fund to the payee automatically(Fig /130) as well as the handler being a stored value fund(Fig 7) as well as waiting a period of time between sending notification to the payer after receiving the payout instruction and the transferring step(Fig 8/122/124)(Fig 12/124)(col 22 lines 11-33)(col 18 lines 10-25) and cancelling the transferring step if the payor declines within a period of time(Fig 7/102/46) and which includes currency(Fig 6/166) and where the payor, the handler and the payee are remotely located from each other(Fig 6).Hilt further teaches two transfers(Abstract) first to a stored value account and from a stored value account to the payee(Figs 1-3). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Watkins in view of Hass to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a method of communicating between first and second processes running on a plurality of host that are connected to a data storage system as enunciated by Hass(col 1 lines 31-39).It also would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Watkins in view of Hass and further in view of Hilt to teach

Art Unit: 3624

the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a method of providing an improved method of paying bills as enunciated by Hilt(col 10 lines 40-41).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claims 10,16,20 are rejected under 35 USC 101 as unpatentable as they lack utility and fail to describe a concrete, useful and tangible output.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are not persuasive. Hilt further teaches two transfers(Abstract) first to a stored value account and from a stored value account to the payee(Figs 1-3)as well as waiting a period of time between sending notification to the payer after receiving the payout instruction and the transferring step(Fig 8/122/124)(Fig 12/124)(col 22 lines 11-33)(col 18 lines 10-25).Hilt further teaches consumers transmitting bill pay orders to their banks indicating a payment amount(limit) and a date(time limit)(Abstract)(Fig 4)(Fig 6)(col 10 line 44-col 14 line 57).

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the primary examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the primary examiner are unsuccessful, the primary examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-3687. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the


Application/Control Number: 10/021292

Page 6

Art Unit: 3624

status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is
(703)-308-1113.

GRA/Primary



July 12, 2003

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, P.E.
PRIMARY EXAMINER